## SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Table 1S Rationale for design of the synthetic gene library.

| Residue number | Mutation | Codon ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Rationale |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| His42 | His, Asn, Gln, Lys | MAS | Mutations beneficial to tetrameric DsRed variants [1]. Not conserved in coral CFPs. |
| Leu44 | Leu, Val, Ala, Pro | SYC | Mutations beneficial to tetrameric DsRed variants [1]. Not conserved in coral CFPs. |
| Gln66 (residue of chromophore) | Gln, Lys, <br> Met, Leu | MWG | Mutations beneficial to Aequorea GFP [2] and monomeric RFPs [3]. <br> Lys or Gln present in coral CFPs. |
| Arg70 | Lys, Arg | ARG | Mutations beneficial to monomeric RFPs [4]. Lys or Arg present in coral CFPs. |
| Ala71 | Ala, Val | GYC | Mutations beneficial to monomeric RFPs [4]. Ala or Cys present in coral CFPs. |
| Leu72 | Leu, Phe, lle | HTC | Phe in 2 coral CFPs and Leu in 1 coral CFP. |
| Phe83 | Leu, Phe, lle | HTC | Mutations beneficial to monomeric RFPs [3, 4]. Phe in 2 coral CFPs and Leu in 1 coral CFP. |
| Ile104 | lle,Thr | AYC | May disrupt A-B interface interactions |
| Phe124 | Leu, Phe, Ile | HTC | Mutations beneficial to dimeric RFPs [4]. Strict conservation in coral CFPs. |
| Asp125 | Lys,Arg | ARG | Likely to disrupt A-B interface interactions. |
| Met127 | Lys, Arg | ARG | Likely to disrupt A-B interface interactions. |
| Met150 | Met, Leu | MTG | Mutations beneficial to monomeric RFPs [4]. Met in 2 coral CFPs and Leu in 1 coral CFP. |
| His163 | His, Gln | CAS | Mutations beneficial to dimeric and monomeric RFPs [3, 4]. <br> His in 2 coral CFPs and Ala in 1 coral CFP. |
| Ser179 | Ser, Thr | WCC | Mutations beneficial to dimeric RFPs [4]. Thr in 2 coral CFPs and Ser in 1 coral CFP. |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Single letter codes for bases are as follows: $\mathrm{A}=$ adenosine, $\mathrm{C}=$ cytidine, $\mathrm{G}=$ guanosine, $\mathrm{T}=$ thymidine, $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{A}$ or C or $\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{A}$ or $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{A}$ or $\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{S}=\mathrm{C}$ or $\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{W}=\mathrm{A}$ or T , and $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{C}$ or T .

Table 2S Mutations in dimeric and monomeric TFP variants.

| Variant | Library construction strategies | Mutations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dTFP0.1 | See Supplementary Table 1. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Inside: H42N, L44V, L72F, } \\ & \text { F124L, M150L, S179T } \\ & \text { A-B interface: D125K, M127K } \end{aligned}$ |
| dTFP0.2 | 2 generations of random mutagenesis. | Inside: D81N Outside: S226P |
| mTFP0.3 | Site-directed mutagenesis at 162 and 164. Saturation mutagenesis at 163. | A-C interface: S162K, S164K |
| mTFP0.4 | Saturation mutagenesis at 66 . Semi-saturation mutagenesis at 175. | Inside: Q66C, C175V |
| mTFP0.5 | 3 generations of random mutagenesis. | Inside: S62T, C66G <br> Outside: A80P, N216S <br> A-B interface: K127E, K182R |
| mTFP0.6 | Saturation mutagenesis at 66+163, 66+197. <br> Semi-saturation mutagenesis at 66+147, 66+213. | Inside: G66A, L213V <br> Outside: S2N <br> Replace 223-228 with TG |
| mTFP0.7 | 2 generations of random mutagenesis. | Inside: V44I, Y173H <br> Outside: V186A <br> A-B interface: R123H <br> Mutate: N2S |
| mTFP0.8 | Semi-saturation mutagenesis at 62, 63, 64,65 , and 66 with screening for photostability. | Inside: N63T |
| mTFP0.9 | Semi-saturation mutagenesis at 142, 144, $145,149,150$, and 161 with screening for photostability. | Inside: L150M (reversion to wt), l161V <br> Outside: K142G <br> A-C interface: E144D, P145A, I149R |
| mTFP1 | 2 generations of random mutagenesis with screening for photostability. | Outside: L141T, V158K, Y221N, G224D |

Table 3S Summary of crystallographic statistics.

| Statistic | mTFP1 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Total reflections | 842,305 |
| Unique reflections | 65,393 |
| Cell dimensions (a, b, c), Å | 89.83, 38.02, 61.12 |
| Resolution, Å | 35-1.19 |
| Highest resolution shell, Å | 1.22-1.19 |
| Completeness, * \% | 98.0 (97.4) |
| Average $/ / \sigma^{*}$ | 26.2 (3.0) |
| $R_{\text {merge }}{ }^{\text {* }}$ | 0.034 (0.364) |
| $R_{\text {work }} \ddagger$ | 0.137 |
| $R_{\text {free }}$ | 0.207 |
| $R$ factor (all data) | 0.149 |
| Average $B$ factors, $\AA^{2}$ | 23.2 |
| Protein atoms | 20.6 |
| Solvent | 37.3 |
| Rmsd bond lengths, $\AA$ | 0.014 |
| Rmsd bond angles, ${ }^{\circ}$ | 0.031 |
| *Values in parentheses indicate statistics for the highest-resolution shell. |  |
| ${ }^{\dagger} R_{\text {merge }}=\sum_{i} \sum_{( }\left(I_{i j}-\left\langle\Lambda_{i}\right) / \sum_{i} \Sigma_{\lambda}\left\langle\Lambda_{l}\right.\right.$, where $I_{i j}$ is the amplitude of the $t$ observation of reflection $i$ and $\left\langle\lambda_{1}\right.$ is the mean value of observations $I_{i j}$. |  |
| ${ }^{\ddagger} \mathrm{R}$ factor $=\sum\| \| F_{\mathrm{o}}\left\|-\left\|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right\| / \Sigma\right\| F$ amplitudes. | $F_{c}$ are the observed and calculated structure |

Table 4S Experimentally determined relative fluorescence intensities for identical concentrations of mTFP1, mCerulean, and mCitrine imaged on an epi-fluorescence microscope with xenon arc lamp illumination.

| Protein | Excitation <br> filter $^{\mathrm{a}}$ | Beamsplitter ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |$\quad$| Intensity relative to mCerulean imaged with a |
| :---: |
| HQ436/20 excitation filter and D480/40 |
| emission filter |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ All filters and beamsplitters were purchased from Chroma Technology Corp. Filters are designated with Chroma part numbers. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Not determined. ${ }^{\circ}$ For mTFP1 and mCerulean, intensities are relative to the intensity in the indicated TFP or CFP emission channel. For mCitrine, all intensities are relative to the intensity obtained with a HQ500/20 excitation filter and HQ535/30 emission filter.


Figure 1S Protein sequence alignments of mTFP1, coral CFPs, and homologues.
The aligned sequences are the designed synthetic gene library, mTFP1, cFP484 from Clavularia sp. (Genbank accession AAF03374), dsFP483 from Discosoma striata (Genbank accession AAF03370), amFP486 from Anemonia majano (Genbank accession Q9U6Y6), mCherry derived from Discosoma striata dsFP583 (Genbank accession AAV52164), and EGFP derived from Aequorea GFP (from Clontech vector pEGFP) [3, 5]. Residues shaded cyan are changes or point mutations that are present in mTFP1. Residues 66, 67, and 68 (enclosed in black box) are the precursors of the chromophore. The rationale behind the design of the gene library is summarized in Supplementary Table 1S. Numbering is consistent with DsRed and its monomeric variants that have no internal insertions or deletions relative to cFP484.


Figure 2S Fluorescence lifetime decay of mTFP1.
Shown is the experimental data for the lifetime decay (O) of 5 nM mTFP1 in buffer fit with a single exponential decay (thick black line) convolved with the instrument response function (thin black line). The inset is the residuals of the best single exponential fit for mTFP1 ( $\tau=3.2$ $\left.\mathrm{ns}, \chi^{2}=1.0\right)$.
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